

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/670,405	09/24/2003	Susan I. Shelso	29985/02-029	7930
	7590 02/12/2007 THIAS & HULL	EXAMINER		
ONE NORTH FRANKLIN STREET			PRONE, CHRISTOPHER D	
SUITE 2350 CHICAGO, IL 6	50606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
7o., 100, 12 (3738	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/670,405	SHELSO, SUSAN I.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher D. `	3738
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the strong and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 No. 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr	•
Disposition of Claims		•
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is old	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received in	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Pate

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Independent claims 1, 11, 19, and 20 disclose that the catheter comprises "rigidly coupled" members, shafts, and tubes, but there is no support for the claim that the connection is rigid. The specification described the engagement on page 3 in lines 4-12 as being fixedly coupled, but there is no mention of a rigid or nonflexible connection. The only mention of rigid is in regards to the inner member.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/670,405

Art Unit: 3738

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 5,634,928 Fischell et al in view of 5,026,377 Burton et al.

In regards to claims 1-20 Fischell the invention substantially as claimed being a catheter (10), a handle comprising an inner tube (13), and outer tube (24), and a rigid member (11) which protrudes beyond the distal end of the inner shaft, a sheath (20) comprising a stepped end (72) and a valve (58), a stent (40) shown in figures 1-4I, and a method of using his catheter described in column 6 on line 14 through column 8 line 6. However, Fischell does not disclose that the inner member is rigidly coupled to the inner shaft.

Burton teaches the use of a stent placement tool comprising a textured rigid tubular gripping member (9) coupled to the inner member in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of gripping and controlling the movement of a stent prior to the retraction of the sheath.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the tubular gripping member as taught by Burton in place of the balloon member of Fischell to provide an inner tubular member rigidly coupled to the rigid member in order to better anchor the stent and limit its movement before and during the withdraw of the sheath.

Art Unit: 3738

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/14/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant's argues that by describing a fixed connecting one skilled in the art would understand that connection to be free of flexation. The examiner maintains his 112 rejection because fixed connections have no specificity in relation to flexation. The specification has no support for rigid or flex free and therefore it is being rejected as new matter.

The applicant also argues that because the inner tube of Fischell has a flexible tip it is not rigid. However only the tip is flexible, the remainder of the tube remains rigid. The examiner maintains that because the majority of the tip is free of flexation the tube is rigid.

The final argument the applicant presents is related to the location of the inner shaft's distal end in relation the outer shaft's distal end. However the arguments argue the opposite of what is claimed. The claims recite, "the outer shaft distal end positioned proximate to the inner shaft distal end as is shown in Fischell, but the applicant argues the opposite. Therefore this argument is moot.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/670,405

Art Unit: 3738

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher D. Prone whose telephone number is (571) 272-6085. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Through Fri 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/670,405

Art Unit: 3738

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christopher D Prone Examiner Art Unit 3738

CDP

CORRINE McDERMOTT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700